

## LECTURE 19: CONNECTED COMPONENTS

①

Def<sup>n</sup>/ Let  $X$  be a topological space, a subspace  $C \subseteq X$  is called a connected component of  $X$  if

(1.)  $C$  is connected

(2.)  $C \subseteq A$  and  $A$  connected  $\Rightarrow C = A$  (Maximal connected subset)

**E1** Suppose  $C \subseteq X$  is open, closed, connected and non-empty. Then  $C$  is connected component of  $X$ . Suppose  $A$  is connected and contains  $C$ ;  $C \subseteq A$ . But,  $C$  is open and closed in  $A \Rightarrow A = C$ . Since the connected set  $A$  has no open & closed subsets except  $\emptyset$  and  $A$ .

Lemma: Let  $Y$  be a connected subspace of  $X$  and  $Y \subset W \subset \bar{Y}$  then  $W$  is connected. Moreover, the closure of a connected subspace is connected.

Proof: Let  $Z \subseteq W$  be non-empty and suppose  $Z$  is open & closed in  $W$ . Observe  $Z \cap Y$  is open and closed in  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is dense and  $Z$  is open in  $W$  we have  $Z \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . But,  $Y$  is connected  $\Rightarrow Y \subseteq Z$ . As  $\bar{Y}$  is dense and  $Z$  is closed in  $W \Rightarrow Z = W$ .

Lemma: Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$  a family of connected subspaces in  $X$  each of which contains  $x_0$ . Then  $W = \bigcup Z_i$  is connected.

Proof: Suppose towards  $\Rightarrow$  that  $W = A \cup B$  where  $A, B$  nonempty open sets with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Wlog suppose  $x_0 \in A$  then  $A \cap Z_i \neq \emptyset \forall i \in I$ .  
 Suppose  $B \cap Z_j \neq \emptyset$  (such  $j$  must exist since  $B \neq \emptyset$ )  
 then  $(A \cap Z_j) \cup (B \cap Z_j) = Z_j$  yet  $(A \cap Z_j) \cap (B \cap Z_j) = \emptyset$   
 thus  $Z_j$  is separated by  $A \cap Z_j, B \cap Z_j$  open, nonempty sets  $\Rightarrow Z_j$  is disconnected.  $\Leftarrow Z_j$  connected. Thus  $W$  connected.  $\parallel$

Corollary: Let  $A, B$  be connected. If  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  then  $A \cup B$  is connected.

Lemma: Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Denote  $C(X) = \bigcup \{Y \mid x_0 \in Y \subseteq X, Y \text{ connected}\}$   
 Then  $C(X)$  is a connected component of  $X$  that contains  $x_0$ .

Proof: Observe  $\{x_0\}$  is connected  $\Rightarrow x_0 \in C(x_0)$ .  
 Apply the lemma above to  $\{Y \mid x_0 \in Y \subseteq X, Y \text{ connected}\}$  we find  $C(x_0)$  is connected. If  $A \subseteq X$  is connected and  $C(x_0) \subseteq A$  then notice  $x_0 \in A$  hence  $A \subseteq C(x_0)$  by definition:  $C(x_0) = A$ .  
 Therefore,  $C(x_0)$  is a connected component of  $X$ .  $\parallel$

Def<sup>n</sup>  $C(x_0) = \bigcup \{ \Sigma \mid x_0 \in \Sigma \subseteq X, \Sigma \text{ connected} \}$  is

The connected component of  $X$  containing  $x_0$ .

Th<sup>m</sup> Every topological space is the union of its connected components.  
Each connected component is closed and any point is contained in a unique component.

Proof: Since  $x \in C(x) \forall x \in X$  we have  $\bigcup_{x \in X} C(x) = X$ .

$$\begin{matrix} C \subseteq C(x_0) \\ D \subseteq C(x_0) \end{matrix}$$

Suppose  $x_0 \in C$  and  $x_0 \in D$  where both  $C$  and  $D$  are connected components

Then  $x_0 \in C \subseteq C \cup D$  and  $x_0 \in D \subseteq C \cup D$  and  $C \cup D$  connected by Lemma

Thus  $C = C \cup D = D$ . Also,  $C$  connected comp.  $\Rightarrow \bar{C}$  connected with  $C \subseteq \bar{C}$

Thus  $C = \bar{C}$  which shows  $C$  closed. (applying Lemma from 1 and 2)

Remark: in general connected components are not open. But, sometimes they are  $\rightarrow$

Lemma: If every point in a topological space  $X$  has a connected neighborhood then the connected components of  $X$  are open

Proof: Let  $C \subseteq X$  be a connected component of  $X$  and suppose  $x_0 \in C$  with  $U$  a connected nbd of  $x_0$ . Since  $x_0 \in C \cap U$ , the union  $C \cup U$  is connected (by the Lemma) and thus  $C \cup U \subseteq C \Rightarrow U \subseteq C \Rightarrow C$  is nbd. of  $x_0$ .

Remark: if  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism then  $F$  is both open and closed as a map thus  $F$  maps connected components to connected components (this seems like a good hunk question)  
 If  $X$  and  $Y$  have a different # of connected comp. then they're not homeomorphic.

**[E2]**  $X = \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  and  $Y = \mathbb{R} - \{0, 1\}$  are not homeomorphic  
 since  $X = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$  where as  
 $Y = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$  and I've decomposed  $X$  &  $Y$  into their connected components.

**[E3]**  $GL(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \det(A) \neq 0\}$   
 $= \underbrace{GL_+(n, \mathbb{R})}_{\det A > 0} \cup \underbrace{GL_-(n, \mathbb{R})}_{\det(A) < 0}$

The determinant map is polynomial in the coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$   
 $\det(A) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} A_{1i_1} A_{2i_2} \dots A_{ni_n}$  hence  $\det: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
 is a continuous map. Furthermore,  $\mathbb{R} - \{0\} = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$   
 where  $(-\infty, 0), (0, \infty)$  are the connected components of  $\mathbb{R}^X = \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ .  
 Note  $\det^{-1}(-\infty, 0) = GL_-(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\det^{-1}(0, \infty) = GL_+(n, \mathbb{R})$  hence  
 $GL_+(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $GL_-(n, \mathbb{R})$  are the connected components of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ .