

Problems are typically taken from either Jeffrey Lee's text *Manifolds and Differential Geometry* (MDG) or John Lee's text *Smooth Manifolds* (SM). I've also written a few problems. 5pts per problem here.

**Problem 35** SM Problem 7-4, page 171.

**Problem 36** SM Problem 7-7, page 172.

**Problem 37** SM Problem 7-9, page 172. (no proof of smoothness expected)

**Problem 38** SM Problem 7-16, page 172. (no proof of smoothness expected)

**Problem 39** SM Problem 8-3, page 199. (think about coordinate charts and open sets perhaps)

**Problem 40** SM Problem 8-10, page 201.

**Problem 41** SM Problem 8-16, page 201.

**Problem 42** SM Problem 8-19, page 202.

**Problem 43** SM Problem 8-20, page 202

**Problem 44** SM Problem 8-27, page 203

**Problem 45** SM Problem 8-28, page 203

**Problem 46** SM Problem 8-29, page 203

P35 Problem 7-4, p. 171

Let  $\det: GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the determinant function. Use

Cor 3.25  $dF_p(v) = (F \circ \gamma)'(0)$  where  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$

and  $F: M \rightarrow N$  smooth where  $\gamma(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma'(0) = v$  to show,

(a.) For any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , show that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \det(I_n + tA) = \text{trace}(A)$$

(Hint tells us to look at Appendix)

(b.) For  $\Sigma \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $B \in T_\Sigma GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

Show that  $d(\det)_\Sigma(B) = (\det \Sigma) \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}B)$

[Hint:  $\det(\Sigma + tB) = \det(\Sigma) \det(I_n + t\Sigma^{-1}B)$ ]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a.) } \det(I + tA) &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (e_{i_1} + t \text{col}_1(A))_{i_1} \dots (e_{i_n} + t \text{col}_n(A))_{i_n} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \prod_{j=1}^n (e_{i_j} + t \text{col}_j(A))_{i_j} \end{aligned}$$

Then differentiate and use the extended product rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \det(I + tA) &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{j \neq k}^n (e_{i_j} + t \text{col}_j(A))_{i_j} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (e_{i_k} + t \text{col}_k(A))_{i_k} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{j \neq k}^n (e_{i_j} + t \text{col}_j(A))_{i_j} (\text{col}_k(A))_{i_k} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, notice we can pull-out the sum over  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \det(I + tA) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \prod_{j \neq k}^n (e_{i_j} + t \text{col}_j(A))_{i_j} (\text{col}_k(A))_{i_k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (e_{i_1})_{i_1} \dots (e_{i_{k-1}})_{i_{k-1}} (\text{col}_k(A))_{i_k} \dots (e_{i_n})_{i_n} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \det [e_1 | \dots | e_{k-1} | \text{col}_k(A) | e_{k+1} | \dots | e_n] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n A_{kk} = \text{trace}(A). \end{aligned}$$

P35 continued

(b.) Suppose  $\Sigma \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $B \in T_{\Sigma} GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

then since  $\det$  is continuous we find  $\gamma(t) = \Sigma + tB$  takes values in  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  for  $t$  sufficiently close to  $t=0$  since  $\gamma(0) = \Sigma$  and  $\det(\gamma(0)) = \det(\Sigma) \neq 0$ .

Notice  $\gamma'(0) = B$  hence calculate,

$$d(\det_{\Sigma})(\gamma'(0)) = (\det \circ \gamma)'(0)$$

To calculate the derivative on the RHS above,

$$\begin{aligned} (\det \circ \gamma)(t) &= \det(\Sigma + tB) \\ &= \det(\Sigma(I + t\Sigma^{-1}B)) \\ &= \det(\Sigma) \det(I + t\Sigma^{-1}B) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (\det \circ \gamma)'(0) &= \det(\Sigma) \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \det(I + t\Sigma^{-1}B) \\ &= \det(\Sigma) \operatorname{trace}(\Sigma^{-1}B) \quad \text{by (a.)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\underline{d(\det_{\Sigma})(B) = \det(\Sigma) \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}B)} \quad //$$

P36 Problem 7-7, p. 17a

Prove Prop. 7.15: Let  $G$  be Lie group and let  $G_0$  be its identity component. Then  $G_0$  is normal subgroup of  $G$  and is the only connected open subgroup. Every connected component of  $G$  is diffeomorphic to  $G_0$ .

The connected components partition  $G$ . Suppose  $G_0$  is connected component of  $e$ . Consider  $h \in G$  and notice  $h \in hG_0$  and  $h \in G_0h$  as  $h = eh = he$  hence  $hG_0 = G_0h$  as both  $hG_0$  and  $G_0h$  are images of connected comp. containing  $e$  under  $L_h$  or  $R_h$  which are diffeomorphisms. We "know" a homeomorphism must map components to components. Thus  $G_0 \trianglelefteq G$

If  $H_0 \subseteq G_0$  is open then  $H_0$  is open nbhd of  $e$  and thus, by Prop. 7.14,  $H_0$  generates  $G_0 \iff H_0 = G_0$ .

If  $\tilde{G}$  is connected component of  $G$  then if  $x_0 \in \tilde{G}$  notice  $L_{x_0}(G_0) = x_0G_0 = \tilde{G}$  hence  $\tilde{G}$  diffeomorphic to  $G_0$ .

Remark: This solution is probably missing a bit topologically speaking, but I stop here.

P37 Problem 7-9

Show that  $A \cdot [x] = [Ax]$   
 defines a smooth, transitive left-action of  
 $GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbb{R}P^n$

We need to verify that for all  $A, B \in GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$  and  $[x] \in \mathbb{R}P^n$ ,

$$A \cdot (B \cdot [x]) = (AB) \cdot [x]$$

$$I \cdot [x] = [x]$$

Recall  $[x] = \{ \lambda x \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \} = \text{span} \{x\}$  where  $x \neq 0$

Consider  $I \cdot [x] = [Ix] = [x]$ . Also,

$$A \cdot (B \cdot [x]) = A \cdot ([Bx]) = [A(Bx)] = [(AB)x] = (AB) \cdot [x].$$

It remains to show the action is transitive.

Suppose  $[x], [y] \in \mathbb{R}P^n$ . It suffices to show

$\exists A \in GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$  for which  $y = Ax$ .

Notice  $x, y \neq 0$  as  $[x], [y] \in \mathbb{R}P^n$ .

If  $y = kx$  then  $kI_{n+1} \in GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$  and we note

$$(kI_{n+1}) \cdot [x] = [kIx] = [kx] = [y].$$

If  $y \neq kx$  then we may extend  $\{x, y\}$  to a basis

$\beta = \{x, y, v_3, \dots, v_{n+1}\}$ . Notice  $\Phi_\beta(x) = e_1$  and  $\Phi_\beta(y) = e_2$

Let  $A = [\Phi_\beta^{-1} \circ L_B \circ \Phi_\beta]$  where  $B = [e_2 | e_1 | e_3 | \dots | e_{n+1}]$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } Ax &= \Phi_\beta^{-1}(L_B(\Phi_\beta(x))) \\ &= \Phi_\beta^{-1}([e_2 | e_1 | e_3 | \dots | e_{n+1}]e_1) \\ &= \Phi_\beta^{-1}(e_2) \\ &= y \end{aligned}$$

and note  $A$  is invertible since  $\det A = \det \Phi_\beta^{-1} \det B \det \Phi_\beta \neq 0$

Thus  $A \cdot [x] = [Ax]$  is a transitive action.

P38] Problem 7-16, p. 172

Prove  $SU(2)$  is diffeomorphic  $S^3$

$$SU(2) = \left\{ A \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \mid A^\dagger A = I, \det(A) = 1 \right\}$$

$$A^\dagger = A^{-1} \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\underbrace{ad-bc}_{\det A = 1}} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a^* & c^* \\ b^* & d^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus  $a^* = d$  and  $b^* = -c$  or  $c = -b^*$

Let  $a = a_1 + ia_2$  and  $b = b_1 + ib_2$

Then  $d = a_1 - ia_2$  and  $c = -b_1 + ib_2$

$$\text{Therefore, } SU(2) = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} a_1 + ia_2 & b_1 + ib_2 \\ \hline -b_1 + ib_2 & a_1 - ia_2 \end{array} \right] \mid \underbrace{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2}_{\det(A)} = 1 \right\}$$

$$F \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} a_1 + ia_2 & b_1 + ib_2 \\ \hline -b_1 + ib_2 & a_1 - ia_2 \end{array} \right] \right) = (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2)$$

defines map  $F : SU(2) \rightarrow S^3$  and the mapping is clearly smooth and invertible

$$F^{-1}(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} a_1 + ia_2 & b_1 + ib_2 \\ \hline -b_1 + ib_2 & a_1 - ia_2 \end{array} \right]$$

Thus  $SU(2)$  diffeomorphic to  $S^3$ .

P39 Problem 8-3, p. 199

Let  $M$  be nonempty positive-dim<sup>l</sup> smooth manifold with or w/o boundary. Show  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  is infinite-dim<sup>l</sup>

Let  $(U, x)$  be chart on  $M$  then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \in \mathcal{X}(M)$$

However, if  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  then  $f \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \in \mathcal{X}(M)$

as well. But,  $\exists$  only many LI  $f \in C^\infty(M)$

for instance  $f_\lambda(x) = e^{\lambda x^1}$  is LI to  $f_\beta(x) = e^{\beta x^1}$

if  $\lambda \neq \beta$  thus  $\exists$  only many functions  $(\lambda, \beta \in \mathbb{R})$

in  $C^\infty(M)$  which are LI. We can argue

~~$$S = \left\{ e^{\lambda x^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(M)$$~~

and  $S$  is LI. If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  distinct,

~~$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda_i x^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} = 0$$~~

~~$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda_i x^1} = 0$$~~

let's be

lazier,

~~$$\text{Then } \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} - \lambda_j \right) \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda_i x^1} = 0$$~~

~~$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) e^{\lambda_i x^1} = 0$$~~

---

$$S = \left\{ (x^1)^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(M)$$

clearly LI since  $\{x^1, (x^1)^2, \dots, (x^1)^n, \dots\}$  is LI.

$$M = (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$$

$$F: M \rightarrow M \text{ def'd by } F(x, y) = (xy, y/x)$$

Show that  $F$  is a diffeomorphism and compute  $F_* \Sigma$  and  $F_* \Upsilon$  where

$$\Sigma = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \Upsilon = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

Notia  $J_F = \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \mid \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} y & x \\ -y/x^2 & 1/x \end{bmatrix}$  has

$\det(J_F) = \frac{y}{x} + \frac{xy}{x^2} = \frac{2y}{x} \neq 0$  thus  $F$  is local diffeomorphism since it is smooth with  $\text{rank}(J_F) = 2$  on  $M$ .

① If  $F(x, y) = F(a, b)$  then  $(xy, y/x) = (ab, b/a)$

hence  $xy = ab$  and  $\frac{y}{x} = \frac{b}{a} \Rightarrow ay = bx$

multiplying by  $a$  gives  $axy = a^2b \Rightarrow bx^2 = a^2b$   
 $\Rightarrow x^2 = a^2$

then  $xy = ab \Rightarrow xy = xb \Rightarrow \underline{y = b}$ .

$\Rightarrow \underline{x = a}$

Thus  $F$  is injective.

(since  $a, x > 0$ )

② To show  $F$  onto we can for about

the same trouble find formula for  $F^{-1}$

$(xy, y/x) = (u, v) \leftarrow$  now solve for  $x$  &  $y$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} xy = u \\ \frac{y}{x} = v \end{array} \right\} \frac{xy}{y/x} = \frac{u}{v} \Rightarrow x^2 = \frac{u}{v} \therefore \underline{x = \sqrt{\frac{u}{v}}}$$

likewise  $(xy) \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) = uv \Rightarrow y^2 = uv \therefore \underline{y = \sqrt{uv}}$



P40 continued

$$F^{-1}(u, v) = \left( \sqrt{\frac{u}{v}}, \sqrt{uv} \right)$$

Let  $(u, v) \in M$  then  $F(F^{-1}(u, v)) = (u, v)$

$$\text{since } F\left(\sqrt{\frac{u}{v}}, \sqrt{uv}\right) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{u}{v}} \sqrt{uv}, \frac{\sqrt{uv}}{\sqrt{\frac{u}{v}}}\right)$$

$$= (\sqrt{u^2}, \sqrt{v^2})$$

$$= (u, v) \text{ as } u, v > 0.$$

Thus  $F$  onto and we've shown  $F$  is smooth bijection, indeed  $F^{-1}$  is also clearly smooth on  $M$ .

Following Example 8.20:  $(F_* \Sigma)_q = dF_{F^{-1}(q)}(\Sigma_{F^{-1}(q)})$

$$[dF_{(x, y)}] = \begin{bmatrix} y & x \\ -y/x^2 & 1/x \end{bmatrix} \quad F^{-1}(u, v) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{u}{v}}, \sqrt{uv}\right)$$

$$[dF_{F^{-1}(u, v)}] = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{uv} & \sqrt{\frac{u}{v}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{v^3}{u}} & \sqrt{\frac{v}{u}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Sigma_{(x, y)} = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \rightarrow \Sigma_{F^{-1}(u, v)} = \sqrt{\frac{u}{v}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sqrt{uv} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$\Upsilon_{(x, y)} = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rightarrow \Upsilon_{F^{-1}(u, v)} = \sqrt{uv} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

Therefore,

$$(F_* \Sigma)_{(u, v)} = \left( \sqrt{uv} \sqrt{\frac{u}{v}} + \sqrt{\frac{u}{v}} \sqrt{uv} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Big|_{(u, v)} + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \left( -\sqrt{\frac{v^3}{u}} \sqrt{\frac{u}{v}} + \sqrt{\frac{v}{u}} \sqrt{uv} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \Big|_{(u, v)} \\ \text{Some cancellation,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{(F_* \Sigma) = 2u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$$

P40 continued

$$\underbrace{[F_* \Sigma]}_{\text{weights for } \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Big|_{(u,v)} \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \Big|_{(u,v)}} = [dF_{F^{-1}(u,v)}] [\Sigma_{F^{-1}(u,v)}]$$

$$[F_* \Sigma]_{(u,v)} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{uv} & \sqrt{\frac{u}{v}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{v^3}{u}} & \sqrt{\frac{v}{u}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{uv} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} uv \\ -v^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(F_* \Sigma)_{(u,v)} = uv \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Big|_{(u,v)} - v^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \Big|_{(u,v)}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{F_* \Sigma = uv \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - v^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v}}$$

How to calculate  $dF$  directly?  $F^1 = xy$  and  $F^2 = \frac{y}{x}$

$$dF_p \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big|_p \right) = \frac{\partial F^1}{\partial x}(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Big|_{F(p)} + \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x}(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \Big|_{F(p)}$$

$$dF_p \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big|_p \right) = \frac{\partial F^1}{\partial y}(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Big|_{F(p)} + \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial y}(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \Big|_{F(p)}$$

Hence,

$$dF \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = y \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \frac{y}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

$$dF \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = x \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

$$\text{Then } dF(y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) = y \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \frac{y}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) = y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \frac{y^2}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

However,  $u = xy$  and  $v = y/x$  thus  $x = \sqrt{\frac{u}{v}}$  and  $y = \sqrt{uv}$

$$\text{hence } y^2 = uv \text{ and } \frac{-y^2}{x^2} = \frac{-uv}{\frac{u}{v}} = -v^2 \therefore \underline{F_* (y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) = uv \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - v^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v}}$$

P40 continued

(calculating via direct coordinate calculus)

$$\begin{aligned}F_* \Sigma &= dF(x \partial_x + y \partial_y) \\&= x dF(\partial_x) + y dF(\partial_y) \\&= x \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \frac{y}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) + y \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) \\&= 2xy \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\&= 2 \sqrt{\frac{u}{v}} \sqrt{uv} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\&= \underline{2u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}}.\end{aligned}$$

writing  $x$  &  $y$  in terms of  $u$  &  $v$  requires  
we know formula for  $F^{-1}(u, v) = (x, y)$

I found the formulas by solving

$$F(x, y) = (u, v)$$

for  $x$  and  $y$ . Certainly we can calculate

$dF(\Sigma)$  when  $F: M \rightarrow N$  is not invertible,  
but if  $F$  is not 1-1 and  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  then  
it may not be the case that  $F_* \Sigma \in \mathcal{X}(N)$ .

P41 Problem 8-16, p. 201 | Calculate  $[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]$

$$(a.) \quad \mathbb{X} = y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - 2xy^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \mathbb{Y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]f &= \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{Y}f) - \mathbb{Y}(\mathbb{X}f) \\ &= (y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - 2xy^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f - 2xy^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f) \\ &= \cancel{y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f} - \cancel{2xy^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f} - \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial z} f - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f + 2}_{\text{product rule}} \\ &\quad + 2x(2y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f + \cancel{2xy^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f} \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} f + 4xy \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f \\ &= \left[ -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 4xy \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right] f \quad \therefore \boxed{[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}] = 4xy \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, since  $\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z$  serves as <sup>frame</sup> "basis" for  $\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}] = ([\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + ([\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + ([\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

$$[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]x = \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{Y}x) - \mathbb{Y}(\mathbb{X}x) = \mathbb{X}(0) - \mathbb{Y}(0) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]y &= \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{Y}y) - \mathbb{Y}(\mathbb{X}y) \\ &= \mathbb{X}(1) - \mathbb{Y}(-2xy^2) \\ &= 0 + 4xy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]z &= \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{Y}z) - \mathbb{Y}(\mathbb{X}z) \\ &= \mathbb{X}(0) - \mathbb{Y}(y) \\ &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\boxed{[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}] = 4xy \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}$$

p41 continued

$$(b.) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= x \partial_y - y \partial_x & \mathcal{X}x &= -y & \mathcal{Y}x &= 0 \\ \mathcal{Y} &= y \partial_z - z \partial_y & \mathcal{X}y &= x & \mathcal{Y}y &= -z \\ & & \mathcal{X}z &= 0 & \mathcal{Y}z &= y \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]x &= \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{Y}x) - \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{X}x) = -\mathcal{Y}(-y) = \mathcal{Y}(y) = -z \\ [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]y &= \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{Y}y) - \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{X}y) = \mathcal{X}(-z) - \mathcal{Y}(x) = 0 \\ [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]z &= \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{Y}z) - \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{X}z) = \mathcal{X}(y) - \mathcal{Y}(0) = x \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, 
$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}] = -z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

Alternatively, to check my answer,

$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]f = \mathcal{X}(y \partial_z f - z \partial_y f) - \mathcal{Y}(x \partial_y f - y \partial_x f)$$

$$= (x \partial_y - y \partial_x)(y \partial_z f - z \partial_y f) -$$

$$- (y \partial_z - z \partial_y)(x \partial_y f - y \partial_x f)$$

$$= x \partial_z f - z \partial_x f$$

$$= \left( -z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) f$$

(only keeping terms with one derivative since we've proved the 2<sup>nd</sup> order terms cancel)

Once more,

$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}] = -z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

(c.)  $\mathcal{X} = x \partial_y - y \partial_x$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = x \partial_y + y \partial_x$

$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]f = (x \partial_y - y \partial_x)(x \partial_y + y \partial_x)f - (x \partial_y + y \partial_x)(x \partial_y - y \partial_x)f$$

$$= x \partial_x f - y \partial_y f + y \partial_x f - y \partial_y f$$

$$= (x+y) \partial_x - 2y \partial_y f$$

$$\therefore [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}] = (x+y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

P42 Problem 8-19, p. 202

Show  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\vec{v} \times \vec{w} = \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} v_i w_j e_k$  is Lie Alg.

We know  $(c\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) \times \vec{w} = c\vec{v}_1 \times \vec{w} + \vec{v}_2 \times \vec{w}$

and  $\vec{w} \times (c\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) = c\vec{w} \times \vec{v}_1 + \vec{w} \times \vec{v}_2$  thus

the cross-product is bilinear map from  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Moreover,  $\vec{v} \times \vec{w} = -\vec{w} \times \vec{v}$  hence cross-prod. is antisymmetric.

To prove the Jacobi Identity it's helpful to have my Math 231 notes where it is proved

$$\vec{A} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{C}) = (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{C})\vec{B} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B})\vec{C}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{A} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{C}) + \vec{B} \times (\vec{C} \times \vec{A}) + \vec{C} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) &= \\ &= (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{C})\vec{B} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B})\vec{C} + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{A})\vec{C} - (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{C})\vec{A} + (\vec{C} \cdot \vec{B})\vec{A} - (\vec{C} \cdot \vec{A})\vec{B} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{C} = \vec{C} \cdot \vec{A}$  and  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} = \vec{B} \cdot \vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B} \cdot \vec{C} = \vec{C} \cdot \vec{B}$ .

Hence  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \times)$  defines a Lie Algebra as  $\times$  is bilinear, antisymmetric and satisfies Jacobi identity.

P43 Problem 8-20, p. 202

$A \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  be subspace  $A = \text{span} \{X, Y, Z\}$

$$X = y \partial_z - z \partial_y, \quad Y = z \partial_x - x \partial_z, \quad Z = x \partial_y - y \partial_x$$

Show  $A$  is Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\times$ -product.

By properties of Lie Bracket we know  $[X, X] = 0$  and  $[Y, Y] = 0$  and  $[Z, Z] = 0$ . If we define  $[, ] : A \times A \rightarrow A$  by linear extending from basis then bilinearity follows, really we just need to check closure of basis under bracket,

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y] &= [y \partial_z - z \partial_y, z \partial_x - x \partial_z] \rightarrow \text{See what I did?} \\ &= [y \partial_z, z \partial_x - x \partial_z] + [z \partial_x - x \partial_z, z \partial_y] \\ &= y \partial_x - x \partial_y \\ &= -Z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Z] &= [y \partial_z - z \partial_y, x \partial_y - y \partial_x] \\ &= z \partial_x - x \partial_z \\ &= Y \end{aligned}$$

$$[Y, Z] = [z \partial_x - x \partial_z, x \partial_y - y \partial_x] = z \partial_y - y \partial_z = -X$$

Observe  $[Y, X] = Z$  and  $[X, Z] = Y$  and  $[Z, Y] = X$   
contrast to  $\hat{x} \times \hat{y} = \hat{z}$  and  $\hat{z} \times \hat{x} = \hat{y}$  and  $\hat{y} \times \hat{z} = \hat{x}$

I'd like for  $\Phi(\langle a, b, c \rangle) = aX + bY + cZ$  to serve as Lie alg. isomorphism, unfortunately,

p43 continued

$$\Phi(\hat{x} \times \hat{y}) = \Phi(\hat{z}) = z$$

whereas

$$[\Phi(\hat{x}), \Phi(\hat{y})] = [x, y] = -z$$

similarly for the rest of the basis, extrapolating,

$$\Phi(\vec{v} \times \vec{w}) = -[\Phi(\vec{v}), \Phi(\vec{w})]$$

which means  $\Phi$  is not quite a Lie algebra homomorphism (it is bijective, though, so we might as well say isomorphism)

Here  $\Phi$  is isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with the opposite bracket

$$[x, y]_{\text{opp}} = [y, x]$$

bilinear ✓  
antisymmetric ✓  
Jacobi ✓

} all follow from corresp. traits for standard Lie Bracket.

The claim of the problem follows since

$$(\mathfrak{X}(M), [ , ]) \cong (\mathfrak{X}(M), [ , ]_{\text{opp}})$$

↑  
Lie Algebra  
isomorphism

(descends to  $A \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(M)$   
as well)



P44] Problem 8-27, p. 203

$G$  &  $H$  Lie groups and suppose

$F: G \rightarrow H$  a Lie group homomorphism  
which is also a local diffeomorphism. Show

$F_*: \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(H)$  is isomorphism of Lie algebras.

Recall  $\text{Lie}(G) = \text{LIVF}(G)$  where  $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Lie}(G)$   
means  $\mathfrak{X}$  is generated by its value at  $e \in G$   
and left-translation pushes  $\mathfrak{X}_e$  all around  $G$ ,

$$\mathfrak{X}_g = dL_g(\mathfrak{X}_e) \quad \forall g \in G, \mathfrak{X}_e \in T_e G.$$

The push-forward under  $F$  of  $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Lie}(G)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (F_*) \mathfrak{X}_g &= dF(\mathfrak{X}_g) \\ &= (dF)(dL_g(\mathfrak{X}_e)) \\ &= d(F \circ L_g)(\mathfrak{X}_e) : (F \circ L_g)(x) = F(gx) \\ &= (dL_{F(g)} \circ dF)(\mathfrak{X}_e) \quad \leftarrow \begin{aligned} &= F(g)F(x) \\ &= (L_{F(g)} \circ F)(x) \end{aligned} \\ &= dL_{F(g)}(dF(\mathfrak{X}_e)) \\ &= dL_{F(g)}(\mathfrak{Y}_e) \\ &= \mathfrak{Y}_{F(g)} \quad , \quad \text{so } F_* \mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Lie}(H) \end{aligned}$$

Then  $F_*([\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_2]) = [F_* \mathfrak{X}_1, F_* \mathfrak{X}_2]$  by Prop 8.30

(I'm just retracing some steps in proof of Thm 8.44  
on pgs. 195 - 196)

continued  $\curvearrowright$

## Problem 44 continued

Th<sup>m</sup> 8.44 gives  $F_*$  is Lie algebra homomorphism;  $F_*([\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_2]) = [F_*\mathfrak{X}_1, F_*\mathfrak{X}_2]$  for all  $\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_2 \in \text{Lie}(G)$ . We're given  $F: G \rightarrow H$  is local diffeomorphism thus  $dF_g: T_g G \rightarrow T_{F(g)} H$  is isomorphism of vector spaces for each  $g \in G$ . Notice

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Lie}(G) &\cong T_e G \\ \text{Lie}(H) &\cong T_e H\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $dF_e: T_e G \rightarrow T_{F(e)} H = T_e H$  is an isomorphism. In Lee's notation,

$$(T_e G)^L = \text{Lie}(G)$$

$$\text{Lie}(G) = (T_e G)^L = \psi(T_e G)$$

Ok, in summary,

$$\text{Lie}(G) = \psi(T_e G)$$

$$\text{Lie}(H) = \psi(T_e H) = \psi(dF_e(T_e G))$$

$$\psi^{-1}(\text{Lie}(H)) = dF_e(T_e G) = dF_e(\psi^{-1}(\text{Lie}(G)))$$

$$\text{Lie}(H) = (\psi \circ dF_e \circ \psi^{-1})(\text{Lie}(G))$$

(any way,  $F_*$  isomorphism of Lie algebras  $\text{Lie } G$  and  $\text{Lie } H$  follows from Th<sup>m</sup> 8.44 almost immediately.)

P45 Problem 8-28, p. 203

$\det: GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$  is Lie group homomorphism

Calculate its induced Lie algebra homomorphism, show it

(use Prob. 7-4,  $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \det(I_n + tA) = \text{tr}(A)$ )

and  $d(\det)_X(B) = \det(X) \text{tr}(X^{-1}B)$

is the trace.

$\text{tr}: \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(\det_*)_I(B) = \det(I) \text{tr}(I^{-1}B) = \text{tr}(B)$$

Hence  $(\det_*)_I: T_I GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the trace.

Here we use  $T_e G = \mathfrak{g}$  rather than  $LIVF(G)$ .

Thm 8.46 says  $H \subseteq GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} \subseteq Lie(GL(n, \mathbb{R})) \cong$

Under the isomorphism of  $Lie(H)$  with canonically isomorphic sub algebra of  $gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Under this isomorphism,

$$Lie(SL(n, \mathbb{R})) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \text{tr} A = 0\}$$

$$Lie(SO(n)) \cong \mathfrak{o}(n) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid A^T + A = 0\}$$

$$Lie(SL(n, \mathbb{C})) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid \text{tr} A = 0\}$$

$$Lie(U(n)) \cong \mathfrak{u}(n) = \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid A^* + A = 0\}$$

$$Lie(SU(n)) \cong \mathfrak{su}(n) = \mathfrak{u}(n) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

I'll use  $Lie(G) \cong T_e G$  and study curves through  $e = I$ . Let's begin,

$$\textcircled{1} SL(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \det A = 1\}$$

Note  $I \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and by continuity of  $\det$

$\exists \varepsilon > 0$  st.  $\alpha(t) = I + tB \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and for all  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$

$B = \alpha'(0) \in T_I SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Using the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \det(I + tB) = \text{tr} B \quad (\text{Problem 7-4})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (1) = 0 = \text{tr}(B)$$

$$\text{thus } T_I SL(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \text{tr}(B) = 0\} \\ = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}).$$

$$(2) \text{SO}(n) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid A^T A = I \text{ and } \det(A) = 1\}$$

Once more  $I \in \text{SO}(n)$  and by continuity of the defining relations,  $\alpha(t) = I + tB \in \text{SO}(n) \forall t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  thus  $\alpha'(0) = B \in T_I \text{SO}(n)$ . Once more we find  $\text{tr}(B) = 0$  since  $\det(I + tB) = 1 \Rightarrow \text{tr}(B) = 0$ .

Now we also have  $\alpha(t)^T \alpha(t) = I$  thus

$$\left(\frac{d\alpha}{dt}\right)^T \alpha(t) + \alpha(t)^T \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(I) = 0$$

Since  $\alpha(0) = I$  and  $\alpha'(0) = B$  then setting  $t=0 \curvearrowright$

$$B^T I + I^T B = 0 \Rightarrow B^T + B = 0$$

Notice  $B_{ii} = -(B^T)_{ii} = -B_{ii} \Rightarrow B_{ii} = 0 \forall i=1,2,\dots,n$

thus  $\text{tr}(B) = 0$  independent of the  $\det(\alpha(t)) = 1$  condition, this is not surprising as  $A^T A = I \Rightarrow \det A = \pm 1$ . This is why the name

$$\mathfrak{o}(n) = \{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid B^T + B = 0\}$$

is given, the same calculus gives  $T_I \mathfrak{o}(n) = \mathfrak{o}(n)$

$$(3) \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid \det(A) = 1\}$$

The calculation of Problem 7-4, while done for  $\mathbb{R}$ , equally well goes for complex matrices.

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \det(I + tB) = \text{tr}(B)$$

Thus  $\alpha(t) = I + tB \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} (1) = \text{tr}(B)$

hence  $\text{tr}(B) = 0 \therefore T_I \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \underbrace{\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})}_{\text{traceless } \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \text{ matrices.}}$

$$(4) \quad U(n) = \{ A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid A^T A = I \} \quad A^T \equiv (A^*)^T = (\overline{A})^T$$

As usual  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\alpha(t) = I + tB \quad \forall t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$

has  $\alpha(t) \in U(n)$ . Note  $\alpha(0) = I$  and  $\alpha'(0) = B \in T_I U(n)$ .

Since  $\alpha(t) \in U(n)$ ,

$$(\overline{\alpha(t)})^T \alpha(t) = I$$

$$\left( \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \right)^T \alpha(t) + \overline{\alpha(t)}^T \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} (I) = 0$$

Setting  $t=0$ ,

$$(\overline{B})^T I + (\overline{I})^T B = 0 \iff B^T + B = 0$$

$$\text{Thus } T_I U(n) = \{ B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid B^T + B = 0 \} = u(n).$$

Remark:  $B^T + B = 0 \iff B_{jj} = -\overline{B_{jj}}$  so the diagonal entries of  $B \in u(n)$  are pure imaginary (not zero as was necessary for skew-symmetric  $B$ )

$$B^T = -B \iff \text{skew-Hermitian}$$

$$(5) \quad SU(n) = \{ A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid A^T A = I \text{ \& \ } \det(A) = 1 \}$$

$B \in T_I SU(n)$  clearly has  $B^T + B = 0$  by arguments already given in case (4). Now

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \det(I + tB) = \text{tr}(B) \Rightarrow \underline{0 = \text{tr}(B)}.$$

$$\text{Thus } T_I SU(n) = \{ B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid B^T + B = 0 \text{ and } \text{tr}(B) = 0 \} \\ = su(n) = u(n) \cap sl(n, \mathbb{C}).$$

**P46** using matrix exponential

For  $G \subseteq GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  we can write

$$\gamma(t) = e^{tB} \text{ and have } \gamma(0) = e^0 = I \ \& \ \gamma'(0) = B$$

As we calculated

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{tB}) = B e^{tB}$$

Then the condition  $\gamma(t) \in G$  when differentiated yields conditions describing  $\mathfrak{g} = T_I G$  and by  $t=1$

$$\boxed{\det(e^B) = \exp(\text{tr}(B))} \leftarrow \text{not an easy identity to derive.}$$

So if  $\det(e^B) = 1$  then  $\exp(\text{tr}(B)) = 1 \therefore \underline{\text{tr}(B) = 0}$ .

$$\textcircled{a} \ \gamma(t) = e^{tB} \in SO(n)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{cases} (e^{tB})^T e^{tB} = I \\ (B^T e^{tB^T}) e^{tB} + (e^{tB})^T B e^{tB} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{t=0} \quad \underline{B^T + B = 0.}$$

So, we have two options

1.) use  $\alpha(t) = I + tB$  & Problem 7-4 to describe  $T_I G$  for  $G \subseteq GL(n)$

2.) use  $\gamma(t) = e^{tB}$  &  $\det(e^B) = \exp(\text{tr}(B))$