

P109 # 28 from pg. 292 Gallian

Let  $F$  be a field and  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in F[x]$

Prove  $x-1$  is factor of  $f(x) \iff a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$

Observe, given  $f(x)$  as above,

$$f(1) = a_n 1^n + a_{n-1} 1^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_0$$

Thus, by Factor Th<sup>m</sup> (aka Coro. 2 on pg. 288),  $f(1) = 0$  iff  $(x-1) \mid f(x)$  which means  $a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$  iff  $(x-1)$  is factor of  $f(x)$ .

P110 # 38 from p. 292 Gallian

Let  $R$  be commutative ring with unity. If  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  then prove  $I[x]$  is prime ideal of  $R[x]$

Suppose  $a, b \in R$  and  $ab \in I \implies a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  where  $I$  is an ideal of the commutative ring with unity  $R$ .

Consider  $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$  where  $f(x)g(x) \in I[x]$ . In particular,  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$  and  $g(x) = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0$  where  $a_i, b_j \in R$

and let  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n+m} \in I$  where

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)g(x) &= (a_n x^n + \dots + a_0)(b_m x^m + \dots + b_0) \\ &= \underbrace{a_n b_m}_{c_{n+m}} x^{n+m} + \dots + \underbrace{a_0 b_0}_{c_0} \end{aligned} \quad \text{generally } c_k = \sum_{l=0}^k a_l b_{k-l}$$

we know  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n+m} \in I$  so  $f(x)g(x) \in I[x]$ .

Since  $I$  prime,  $a_0 b_0 \in I \implies a_0 \in I$  or  $b_0 \in I$ .

For  $c_1 = a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0$  if  $a_0 \in I$  then  $a_0 b_1 \in I \implies c_1 - a_0 b_1 \in I$  thus  $a_1 b_0 = c_1 - a_0 b_1 \in I \implies a_1 \in I$  or  $b_0 \in I$ . Also, if  $b_0 \in I$  then  $a_1 b_0 \in I$  hence  $c_1 - a_1 b_0 = a_0 b_1 \in I$  thus  $a_0 \in I$  or  $b_1 \in I$

Thus, either  $a_0 b_0$  has both  $a_0$  and  $b_0 \in I$  or  $a_0 + a_1 x \in I[x]$  or  $b_0 + b_1 x \in I[x]$ .



P110 continued

Suppose  $I$  is a prime ideal of a commutative ring with unity  $R$ .

It follows  $R/I$  is an integral domain. The natural homomorphism  $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$  defined by  $\pi(r) = r + I$  induces a natural homomorphism of  $R[x]$  and  $(R/I)[x]$  by mapping the coefficients from  $R$  to the corresponding cosets in  $R/I$ . Explicitly,  $\psi: R[x] \rightarrow (R/I)[x]$

$$\psi(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n) = (a_0 + I) + (a_1 + I)x + \dots + (a_n + I)x^n$$

it is not difficult to prove  $\psi$  is a homomorphism of rings,

we can express  $\psi\left(\sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j\right) = \sum_{j=0}^n (a_j + I)x^j$ . Additivity,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j\right) &= \psi\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (a_j + b_j)x^j\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (a_j + b_j + I)x^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (a_j + I)x^j + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (b_j + I)x^j \\ &= \psi\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j\right) + \psi\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j\right).\end{aligned}$$

Likewise,  $\psi(f(x)g(x)) = \psi(f(x))\psi(g(x))$  can be shown.

Note,  $\text{Ker}(\psi) = \{a_0 + \dots + a_n x^n \in R[x] \mid a_0 + I + \dots + (a_n + I)x^n = 0\}$

but,  $a_0 + I + \dots + (a_n + I)x^n = 0 \Rightarrow a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in I$  hence

$\text{Ker}(\psi) = I[x]$ . Also, note  $a_0 + I + (a_1 + I)x + \dots + (a_n + I)x^n$  is mapped to by  $\psi(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n) \therefore \psi$  is surjective.

By 1<sup>st</sup> isomorphism Th<sup>m</sup>,  $R[x]/I[x] \approx (R/I)[x]$ . Notice,

$R/I$  is int. domain  $\Rightarrow (R/I)[x]$  is int. domain  $\Rightarrow \frac{R[x]}{I[x]}$  int. domain.

Therefore, we find  $I[x]$  is prime ideal.

P111 Gallium #39 p. 292

Let  $F$  be a field and  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ .

If  $\nexists$  polynomial of positive degree that divides both  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  then prove  $\exists h(x), k(x) \in F[x]$  for which

$$f(x)h(x) + g(x)k(x) = 1 \quad (\text{such } f(x), g(x) \text{ are relatively prime})$$

Construct  $I = \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle = \{a(x)f(x) + b(x)g(x) \mid a(x), b(x) \in F[x]\}$

it is clear  $I$  forms an ideal of  $F[x]$  and since

$F[x]$  is a PID it follows  $I = \langle j(x) \rangle = \{j(x)f(x) \mid f(x) \in F[x]\}$

$$\text{notice } \underbrace{a(x)}_1 f(x) + \underbrace{b(x)}_0 g(x) = f(x) = f(x)j(x) \Rightarrow j(x) \mid f(x).$$

$$\text{likewise } g(x) = 0 \cdot f(x) + 1 \cdot g(x) = f(x)j(x) \Rightarrow j(x) \mid g(x).$$

Hence the generator of  $I$  divides both  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$

As  $\nexists j(x) \in F[x]$  with  $\deg(j(x)) \geq 1$  with  $j(x) \mid f(x), g(x)$

we find  $j(x) = c$  thus  $\langle c \rangle = \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle$

if  $c = 0$  then  $f(x) = 0$  and  $g(x) = 0$  which  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$  the non existence of divisors of positive degree. Hence  $c \neq 0$

and  $\langle c \rangle = I$  thus,

$$c = a(x)f(x) + b(x)g(x) \quad \text{for some } a(x), b(x) \in F[x]$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = \left(\frac{a(x)}{c}\right)f(x) + \left(\frac{b(x)}{c}\right)g(x)$$

Let  $h(x) = \frac{1}{c}a(x)$  and  $k(x) = \frac{1}{c}b(x)$  to see we have established the existence of  $h(x), k(x) \in F[x]$  for which  $h(x)f(x) + g(x)k(x) = 1$ .

P112 # 8 of p. 308 of Gallian

Construct a field of order 27

Strategy, find irred. <sup>over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$</sup>  order 3  $P(x)$  for which  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_3[x]}{\langle P(x) \rangle}$  is field.

Let  $P(x) = x^3 + 2x + 2$  notice  $P(0) = 2, P(1) = 2, P(2) = 2$   
modulo 3 hence  $x^3 + 2x + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3[x]$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

Consequently,  $\mathbb{Z}_3[x] / \langle x^3 + 2x + 2 \rangle = \{ a + bx + cx^2 + \langle x^3 + 2x + 2 \rangle \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}$

forms a field with 27 elements.

P113 # 10 of p. 308 of Gallian

Determine which polynomials below are irred. over  $\mathbb{Q}$

(a.)  $x^5 + 9x^4 + 12x^2 + 6$  is irred. by Eisenstein's criterion with  $P=3$   
as  $3/9, 3/12, 3/6$  but  $3^2 \nmid 6$ . (irred. over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to be clear)

(b.)  $x^4 + x + 1 = f(x)$  gives  $\overline{f(x)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$  for which  
 $\overline{f(0)} = 1$  and  $\overline{f(1)} = 1$  thus  $\overline{f(x)}$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$   
hence  $f(x)$  irred over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

(c.)  $x^4 + 3x^2 + 3$  is irred. over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by  $P=3$  application of Eisenstein.

(d.)  $x^5 + 5x^2 + 1$  provides  $\overline{f(x)} = x^5 + x^2 + 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$  and  
as  $\overline{f(0)} = 1$  and  $\overline{f(1)} = 1 + 1 + 1 = 1$  we find  $\overline{f(x)}$  irred. over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$   
thus  $x^5 + 5x^2 + 1$  is irred. over  $\mathbb{Q}$

(e.)  $f(x) = \frac{5}{2}x^5 + \frac{9}{2}x^4 + 15x^3 + \frac{3}{7}x^2 + 6x + \frac{3}{14}$

$$\Rightarrow 14f(x) = 35x^5 + 63x^4 + 210x^3 + 6x^2 + 84x + 3$$

note  $3/63, 3/210, 3/6, 3/84, 3/3$  and  $9 \nmid 3$  Thus  $14f(x)$  irred. over  $\mathbb{Q}$

If  $f(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x) \Rightarrow 14f(x) = 14f_1(x)f_2(x) \Rightarrow \underline{f(x)}$  also irred. over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

P114 Gallian #29 of p.309

We wish to show  $X^4+1$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for any prime  $p$ .

It turns out in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  we have a sol<sup>n</sup> to

i.)  $a^2 = -1$

ii.)  $a^2 = 2$

iii.)  $a^2 = -2$

and in each case we may reduce  $X^4+1$  in view of such an element.

i.)  $a^2 = -1 \Rightarrow X^4+1 = X^4 - a^2 = (X-a)(X+a)$

ii.)  $a^2 = 2 \Rightarrow X^4+1 = \underbrace{(X^2+ax+1)}_{\text{from Gallian's key. Let's check on this claim,}}(X^2-ax+1)$

from Gallian's key. Let's check on this claim,

$$\begin{aligned}(X^2+ax+1)(X^2-ax+1) &= X^4 + X^3(-a+a) + X^2(1-a^2+1) + X(a-a) + 1 \\ &= X^4 + X^2(2-a^2) + 1 \\ &= X^4 + 1.\end{aligned}$$

iii.)  $(X^2+ax-1)(X^2-ax-1) = X^4 + X^3(-a+a) + X^2(-1-a^2-1) + X(-a+a) + 1$   
 $= X^4 + X^2(-a^2-2) + 1$   
 $= X^4 + 1$  given  $a^2 = -2$ .

Thus, if we can show  $\exists a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  for which  $a^2 = -1$ ,  $a^2 = 2$ ,  $a^2 = -2$  for any prime  $p$  then we find  $X^4+1$  reduces according to the factorizations shown above. It remains to show such  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  exist for any prime  $p$ ,

P114 continued

Consider  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  defined

by  $\varphi(x) = x^2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}$ .

Observe  $\varphi(xy) = (xy)^2 = x^2 y^2 = \varphi(x) \varphi(y)$  thus,

$\varphi$  is a homomorphism of the multiplicative

group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . Moreover,

$$\text{Ker } \varphi = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \mid \varphi(x) = x^2 = 1\}$$

$$\text{Ker } \varphi = \{1, -1\}$$

If  $p > 2$  then  $|\text{Ker } \varphi| = 2$ . Let  $H = \varphi(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$

and note  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times / \text{Ker } \varphi \cong H$  hence

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}{H} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times / \text{Ker } \varphi} \cong \text{Ker } \varphi \quad (\text{fun with quotients!})$$

thus  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times / H$  has two elements  $H$  and  $xH$  for some  $x \notin H$ .

① If  $-1, 2 \notin H$  then  $-H = 2H$  and since  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times / H \cong \{-1, 1\}$

the non-identity element squares to give identity

$$(-H)(-H) = H \quad \text{and} \quad (2H)(2H) = H$$

Also,  $(-H)(-H) = (-H)(2H) = -2H = H \therefore -2 \in H. \Rightarrow \exists a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$   
for which

② If  $-1, 2 \in H$  then  $\exists a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  s.t.  $\underline{a^2 = -1}$  and  $\underline{a^2 = 2}$   $\underline{a^2 = -2}$ .

Finally,  $p = 2$  we treat separately,  $x^4 + 1 = x^4 - 1 = (x^2 + 1)(x^2 - 1)$ .