

§6.1#5b, d, e | Given the Cayley Table below for  $*$  analyze if  $*$  is unital (has identity), associative, commutative and for those elements with inverses find them.

$*$	a	b	c	d
a	c	d	a	b
b	d	a	b	c
c	a	b	c	d
d	b	c	d	a

Let  $\{a, b, c, d\} \equiv A$

← this says  $c*d = d$

The identity  $e$  must satisfy  $x*e = e*x = x \quad \forall x \in A$ .  
we need  $e*e = e*e \Rightarrow \underline{e=c}$  if anything. Observe,

$$c*a = a = a*c$$

$$c*b = b = b*c$$

$$c*d = d = d*c$$

Thus  $c*x = x*c = x \quad \forall x \in A$ . We find  $\boxed{e=c}$

Moreover, we can see from the symmetry of the table about the diagonal that  $x*y = y*x$   
 $\forall x, y \in A$ .

Inverses  $a^{-1}$  have  $a*a^{-1} = a^{-1}*a = e = c$  for this example. Clearly  $\boxed{c^{-1} = c}$  since  $c*c = c$ . Also

$$a*a = e \Rightarrow \boxed{a = a^{-1}} \quad \text{note } d*b = e \text{ and } b*d = e$$

thus  $\boxed{d^{-1} = b}$  and  $\boxed{b^{-1} = d}$

§ 6.1 # 7 (Hint) When is  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n} = \mathcal{M} = \{A \mid A \text{ } m \times n \text{ real matrix}\}$  an algebraic structure under matrix multiplication? (49)

Reminder: If  $A$  is  $m \times n$  and  $B$  is  $p \times q$  then  $AB$  is a  $m \times q$  matrix iff  $n = p$ . We assume  $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ . If you want to write details some nice notation is  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is denoted  $A = [A_{ij}]$  where  $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . If  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$  then  $B = [B_{lk}]$  such that  $1 \leq l \leq n$  and  $1 \leq k \leq q$ . We can define  $AB \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times q}$  with  $AB = [(AB)_{ik}]$  and

$$(AB)_{ik} = \sum_{l=1}^n A_{il} B_{lk}$$

On the other hand, we can only add matrices of the same size. If  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  then

$$A + B = [(A+B)_{ij}]$$

$$(A+B)_{ij} = A_{ij} + B_{ij} \quad \text{for all } i, j \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq m \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Ok, enough hinting.

§6.1#8 Let  $(A, \circ)$  be an algebraic structure. Prove that if  $e$  and  $f$  are identities for  $\circ$  then  $e = f$

Proof: Since  $e$  is an identity for  $\circ$  then  $e \circ x = x \circ e = x$   
 $\forall x \in A$ . Likewise  $f$  is an identity for  $\circ$  then  $f \circ y = y \circ f = y$   
 $\forall y \in A$ . In particular choose  $x = f$  and  $y = e$  then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} e \circ f = f \circ e = f \\ f \circ e = e \circ f = e \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \boxed{f = e}$$

§6.1#9a Let  $(A, \circ)$  be an algebraic structure,  $a \in A$  and  $e$  the identity for  $\circ$ .

a.) Prove that if  $\circ$  is associative and  $x$  and  $y$  are inverses of  $a$  then  $x = y$

Assume  $(A, \circ)$  is an associative algebraic structure and  $a \in A$  and  $e$  is identity with respect to  $\circ$ .

If  $x$  and  $y$  are inverses of  $a$  then

$$x \circ a = a \circ x = e \quad \text{and} \quad y \circ a = a \circ y = e$$

Thus,  $x \circ a = y \circ a$ . Operate by  $x$  on the right,

$$\underbrace{(x \circ a) \circ x}_{\text{right}} = (y \circ a) \circ x$$

$$\Rightarrow x \circ (a \circ x) = y \circ (a \circ x) \quad \text{using associativity!}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \circ e = y \circ e$$

$$\Rightarrow x = y. //$$

§6.1#14a) Construct Cayley Table for  $(\mathbb{Z}_8, +_8)$ .

(51)

$+_8$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0
2	2	3	4	5	6	7	0	1
3	3	4	5	6	7	0	1	2
4	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3
5	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4
6	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5
7	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6

add  $-$  to all numbers here technically speaking.

§6.1#15a) Find zero-divisors in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$

Def<sup>n</sup>, a zero-divisor  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  is  $a \neq 0$  such that  $\exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  with  $b \neq 0$  and  $ab = 0$ .

$\cdot_6$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5
2	0	2	4	0	2	4
3	0	3	0	3	0	3
4	0	4	2	0	4	2
5	0	5	4	3	2	1

Mod(6),  $\bar{2}(\bar{3}) = 0$  and  $\bar{3}(\bar{4}) = 0$  thus  $\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}$  are zero divisors

In contrast,  $\bar{1}$  and  $\bar{5}$  have multiplicative inverses  $\bar{1}^{-1} = \bar{1}$  and  $\bar{5}^{-1} = \bar{5}$  where  $e = \bar{1}$  w.r.t.  $\cdot_6$ .

Remark: there is a difference between 0 and  $\bar{0}$  etc... but since there is little danger of confusion in many contexts you'll find the " $-$ " is missing. I'll try to keep them most places.

§6.2#2/ Given that  $G = \{e, u, v, w\}$  is a group of order 4 with identity  $e$  and  $u^2 = v$  and  $v^2 = e$ , construct the Cayley Table for the group.

Notice  $u^4 = u^2 u^2 = v v = v^2 = e$ . Let's see the group has order 4 thus the products

	e	u	v	w
e	e	u	v	w
u	u	v	w	e
v	v	w	e	u
w	w	e	u	v

order 4 thus the products  $uv, vu, uw, wu, wv, vw, w^2$  all must be in  $\{e, u, v, w\}$ .  
Notice  $v^2 = e \Rightarrow v = v^{-1}$

Suppose  $wv = u$  then  $(wv)(vw) = wv^2w = w^2 = v$ .  
This suggests  $wv = vw = u$  to be consistent.

Then the rest of the table fills out by the rule that no element is repeated in any particular row or column.

$u = wv \Rightarrow uv = wv^2 \Rightarrow uv = w$ .

Remark: these sort of problems require a fair amount of guessing and tinkering. I think §6.2#3 is easier

§6.2#6c. Construct Cayley Table for  $(\mathbb{Z}_5 - \{0\}, \cdot_5)$

$\cdot_5$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$
$\bar{1}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$
$\bar{2}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{3}$
$\bar{3}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{2}$
$\bar{4}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{1}$

Notice  $(\bar{4})^{-1} = \bar{4}$   
and  $(\bar{2})^{-1} = \bar{3}$   
while  $(\bar{3})^{-1} = \bar{2}$   
here  $e = \bar{1}$

Remark: you don't have to use  $\bar{1}$  etc... for #6 if you don't want to. I just wanted to emphasize that these objects are multiplied by modular arithmetic.

§6.2#86) Compute the following products in the group of permutations on 4 objects  $S_4$ :

53

$$[1243] \circ [4213], [4321] \circ [4321] \text{ and } [2143] \circ [1324]$$

We calculate, (see pg. 270 - 271)

$$[1243] \circ [4213] = [3214]$$

$$[4321] \circ [4321] = [1234]$$

$$[2143] \circ [1324] = [2413]$$

§6.2#11) Let  $G$  be a group. Prove that if  $g^2 = e$   $\forall g \in G$  then  $G$  is Abelian.

Proof: If  $a, b \in G$  then  $ab \in G$  since  $G$  is a group which is closed under multiplication. Furthermore,

$$(ab)^2 = (ab)(ab) = e$$

Note  $a^2 = e$  and  $b^2 = e$  and multiply on right by  $ba$  to obtain:

$$(ab)(ab)(ba) = ba$$

$$\Rightarrow abab^2a = ba \quad : \text{ used associativity in } G.$$

$$\Rightarrow abaea = ba \quad : b^2 = e$$

$$\Rightarrow aba^2 = ba \quad : aea = aa = a^2$$

$$\Rightarrow abe = ba \quad : a^2 = e$$

$$\Rightarrow ab = ba$$

Thus  $G$  is abelian. since  $ab = ba \quad \forall a, b \in G. //$

§ 6.2 #18c Solve  $x \cdot x = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$ . Make sure to find all sol<sup>n</sup>'s.

Notice that if  $x$  is a unit (meaning  $x^{-1}$  exists) then  $xx^{-1} = 1 \Rightarrow xxx^{-1} = x \Rightarrow x^2x^{-1} = x$ . If  $x^2 = 0$  in this context then  $x = 0$  but then  $x^{-1}$  d.n.e. Thus we can safely ignore units in  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$ .

$$U(20) = \{1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19\}$$

these numbers are relatively prime to 20  
 $\gcd(u, 20) = 1$  for  $u \in U(20)$ .

I guess brute-force isn't too hard here,

$$0 \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$1 \cdot 1 = 1$$

$$2 \cdot 2 = 4$$

$$4^2 = 16$$

$$5^2 = 25 = 5$$

$$6^2 = 36 = 16$$

$$7^2 = 49 = 9$$

$$8^2 = 64 = 4$$

$$10^2 = 100 = 0$$

$$12^2 = 144$$

$$13^2 = 169$$

$$14^2 = 196 = 16$$

$$15^2 = 225 = 5$$

$$16^2 = 256 = 16$$

$$18^2 = 324 = 4$$

thus  $x = 0$  and  $x = 10$   
 are the sol<sup>n</sup>'s of  $x^2 = 0$   
 in  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$ .

Remark: maybe you can see a better way to deal with such a question

§6.3#4] Show that if  $H < G$  and  $K < G$  then  $H \cap K < G$  where  $H < G$  denotes  $H$  being a subgroup of  $G$

(55)

Proof: Suppose  $H < G$  and  $K < G$ . Note  $e \in H \cap K$  since  $e \in H < G$  and  $e \in K < G$ . Suppose  $a, b \in H \cap K$  then  $a, b \in H$  and  $a, b \in K$  thus  $ab^{-1} \in H$  and  $ab^{-1} \in K$  by Th<sup>m</sup> (6.9). Hence  $ab^{-1} \in H \cap K \Rightarrow H \cap K < G$  by Th<sup>m</sup> (6.9).

§6.3#6 (Hint) | Additive groups are easy to think about. For example  $(\mathbb{R}^2, +)$  is a group under vector addition.

§6.3#9c) Find the order of the elements in  $(\mathbb{Z}_8, +_8)$

Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_8$  the order of  $x$  is the smallest  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \cdot x \equiv \underbrace{x + x + \dots + x}_{n\text{-times}} = 0 \pmod{8}$ . All calculations mod(8) below.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 1 \cdot 0 = 0 \\ 8 \cdot 1 = 0 \\ 4 \cdot 2 = 0 \\ 8 \cdot 3 = 0 \\ 2 \cdot 4 = 0 \\ 8 \cdot 5 = 0 \\ 4 \cdot 6 = 0 \\ 8 \cdot 7 = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

In  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  we find,  
 0 has order 1.  
 1, 3, 5, 7 have order 8.  
 2, 6 have order 4  
 4 has order 2

§6.3#9d) Find order of elements in  $(\mathbb{Z}_7 - \{0\}, \cdot)$

Here the order of  $a$  is smallest  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a^n = \underbrace{a \cdot a \cdot \dots \cdot a}_{n \text{ factors}} = 1$ .

$$2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 8 \equiv 1 \Rightarrow \underline{2 \text{ has order } 3.}$$

$$4 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 = 64 \equiv 1 \Rightarrow \underline{4 \text{ has order } 3.}$$

$$\text{Notice } 5 \cdot 5 = 25 \equiv 4 \Rightarrow 5^4 \equiv 4^2 = 16 \equiv 2$$

$$\Rightarrow 5^5 \equiv 10 \equiv 3$$

$$\Rightarrow 5^6 \equiv 15 \equiv 1 \therefore \underline{5 \text{ has order } 6}$$

I'll leave the rest for you to play with.



§ 6.4 #6a,b] Let  $f: (A, \cdot) \rightarrow (B, *)$  and  $g: (B, *) \rightarrow (C, \times)$  be operation preserving maps. Prove  $g \circ f$  is an OP map. Prove if  $f^{-1}$  is a function then  $f^{-1}$  is an OP map

(a) Proof: Let  $a, b \in A$  then consider,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (g \circ f)(a \cdot b) &= g(f(a \cdot b)) && : \text{def}^n \text{ of } g \circ f \\
 &= g(f(a) * f(b)) && : f \text{ is OP map.} \\
 &= g(f(a) \times g(f(b))) && : g \text{ is OP map.} \\
 &= (g \circ f)(a) \times (g \circ f)(b) && : \text{def}^n \text{ of } g \circ f
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $g \circ f$  is an OP map.

(b) Proof: Suppose  $f^{-1}$  is a function. Then  $f^{-1}(f(x)) = x$  for each  $x \in A$  and  $f(f^{-1}(y)) = y$  for each  $y \in B$ .

Let  $y, z \in B$  and consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{-1}(y * z) &= f^{-1}(f(a) * f(b)) && : \exists a, b \in A \text{ such that } f(a) = y \text{ and } f(b) = z \\
 &= f^{-1}(f(a \cdot b)) && \leftarrow \text{used as } f \text{ must be onto} \\
 &= a \cdot b && \text{for } f^{-1} \text{ to be a function.} \\
 &= f^{-1}(y) \cdot f^{-1}(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

used as  $f$  is OP map

Thus  $f^{-1}$  is an OP map.

§ 6.4 #8a] Show  $\text{Conj}: (\mathbb{C}, +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, +)$  is OP preserving where  $a+ib \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\text{Conj}(a+ib) = a-ib$

Let  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$  then  $\exists a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $z = a+ib, w = c+id$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Conj}(z+w) &= \text{Conj}(a+ib + c+id) \\
 &= \text{Conj}(a+c + i(b+d)) \\
 &= a+c - i(b+d) \\
 &= a-ib + c-id \\
 &= \text{Conj}(z) + \text{Conj}(w).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{Conj}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is OP map w.r.t. (+).

§6.5#1a) Is  $\mathbb{N}$  with its usual operations a ring?

$\mathbb{N}$  is not a ring for the following reason:  
0 is the additive identity for  $\mathbb{N}$  yet  $n \geq 1$  has additive inverse  $-n$  ( $n + (-n) = 0$ ). Clearly  $-n \leq -1 \Rightarrow -n \notin \mathbb{N}$ . In other words,  $\mathbb{N}$  is not an Abelian group w.r.t. addition.

(there's no problem with the multiplicative axioms here and it is the case that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a ring. In fact, the integers are the quintessential model for a ring)

§6.5#1d) Let  $R = \{bi \mid b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is this a ring under the natural operations? [ $ai + bi \equiv (a+b)i$  and see \*]

$R$  is an Abelian group since  $\forall ai, bi, ci \in R$  ( $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ),

- (a.)  $0 = 0i \in R$  and  $ai + 0i = 0i + ai = ai$ .
- (b.) for every  $ai \in R$ ,  $\exists -ai \in R$  and  $ai - ai = 0 = -ai + ai$ .
- (c.)  $ai + (bi + ci) = (a+b+c)i = (ai + bi) + ci$   
(skipped some detail here, the reason c holds is because  $\mathbb{Z}$  has  $(a+b)+c = a+(b+c)$   
 $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ .)
- (d.)  $ai + bi = bi + ai = (a+b)i$

\* Multiplication:  $(ai) \cdot (bi) = ab i^2 = -ab \notin R$  thus  $R$  is not a Ring if we use this as the multiplication.

However, if we define  $(ai) * (bi) \equiv (ab)i$  then note:

$$ai * (bi * ci) = ai * (bci) = (abc)i = ai * (bci)$$

$$= ai * (bi * ci)$$


---


$$ai * (bi + ci) = ai * ((b+c)i) = a(b+c)i = (ab + ac)i$$

$$= ai * bi + ai * ci$$

(The problem is ambiguous since the operations on  $R$  are not given!)  
Clearly  $(R, +, \cdot)$  not a ring YET  $(R, +, *)$  is a ring.

§6.5#3 Complete proof of Th<sup>m</sup> 6.17 which says that

$(\mathbb{Z}_m, +_m, \cdot_m)$  is a ring. Show that

$$(\bar{b} +_m \bar{c}) \cdot_m \bar{a} = (\bar{b} \cdot_m \bar{a}) +_m (\bar{c} \cdot_m \bar{a})$$

~~$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$~~ . I disagree with text,  $\forall \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$

Proof: Recall the definitions.  $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$  iff  $m \mid (a-b)$ , and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{a} +_m \bar{b} \equiv \overline{a+b} & \text{whereas} & \bar{a} \cdot_m \bar{b} = \overline{ab} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{addition} & \text{addition} & \text{multiplication} \\ \text{in } \mathbb{Z}_m & \text{in } \mathbb{Z} & \text{in } \mathbb{Z}_m \end{array}$$

Let  $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{b} +_m \bar{c}) \cdot_m \bar{a} &= \overline{b+c} \cdot_m \bar{a} && : \text{def}^n \text{ of } +_m, \\ &= \overline{(b+c)a} && : \text{def}^n \text{ of } \cdot_m, \\ &= \overline{ba+ca} && : \text{properties of } \mathbb{Z}, \\ &= \bar{ba} +_m \bar{ca} && : \text{def}^n \text{ of } +_m, \\ &= (\bar{b} \cdot_m \bar{a}) +_m (\bar{c} \cdot_m \bar{a}) && : \text{def}^n \text{ of } \cdot_m. \end{aligned}$$

§6.5#4 Define  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$  on  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  as follows:  $\forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$(a, b) \oplus (c, d) \equiv (a+c, b+d)$  and  $(a, b) \otimes (c, d) \equiv (ac, bd)$ . Prove that  $R = (\mathbb{Z}^2, \oplus, \otimes)$  forms a ring.

Abelian Group w.r.t.  $\oplus$ : Let  $a, b, c, d, f, g \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

1.)  $(0, 0) \oplus (a, b) = (a, b) \oplus (0, 0) = (a, b)$ . We have  $0 \in R$ .

2.) for every  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,  $(-a, -b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $-(a, b) = (-a, -b)$  has  $(a, b) \oplus (-a, -b) = (a, b) \oplus (-a, -b) = (0, 0)$  and  $(-a, -b) \oplus (a, b) = (-a, -b) \oplus (a, b) = (0, 0)$ . Additive Inv.

3.)  $(a, b) \oplus ((c, d) \oplus (f, g)) = (a, b) \oplus (c+f, d+g) = (a+c+f, b+d+g)$   
 $((a, b) \oplus (c, d)) \oplus (f, g) = (a+c, b+d) \oplus (f, g) = (a+c+f, b+d+g)$   
thus  $\oplus$  is associative

$$4.) (a, b) \oplus (c, d) = (a+c, b+d) = (c+a, d+b) = (c, d) \oplus (a, b)$$

thus  $\oplus$  is commutative.

Multiplicative properties of  $R$ 

$$\begin{aligned} 1.) (a, b) \otimes [(c, d) \otimes (f, g)] &= (a, b) \otimes (cf, dg) \\ &= (acf, bdg) \\ &= (ac, bd) \otimes (f, g) \\ &= [(a, b) \otimes (c, d)] \otimes (f, g) \end{aligned}$$

thus  $\otimes$  is associative.

$$\begin{aligned} 2.) (a, b) \otimes [(c, d) \oplus (f, g)] &= (a, b) \otimes (c+f, d+g) \\ &= (a(c+f), b(d+g)) \\ &= (ac+af, bd+bg) \\ &= (ac, bd) \oplus (af, bg) \\ &= [(a, b) \otimes (c, d)] \oplus [(a, b) \otimes (f, g)] \end{aligned}$$

Likewise we can show

$$[(a, b) \oplus (c, d)] \otimes (f, g) = [(a, b) \otimes (f, g)] \oplus [(c, d) \otimes (f, g)]$$

thus  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$  have the need distributive properties  
and we conclude  $(\mathbb{Z}^2, \oplus, \otimes)$  is a RING.

Remark: Clearly  $(a, b) \otimes (c, d) = (ac, bd) = (c, d) \otimes (a, b)$

$\forall (a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  thus we can call  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  a

commutative ring (the "commutative" refers to the multiplication

since it is assumed  $\forall$  rings that addition is commutative)

Remark:  $(1, 1) \otimes (a, b) = (a, b) = (a, b) \otimes (1, 1) \quad \forall (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

What does this mean? What can we say about  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ?

§6.5#7b] Let  $a, b, c \in R$  show  $(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$

We must be careful to use only the axioms of  $R$ . Each step should be justified. Consider, for all  $a, b \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot b + (-a) \cdot b &= (a + (-a)) \cdot b && : \text{by dist. prop. of } R \\ &= 0 \cdot b && : -a \text{ is additive inverse.} \\ &= 0 && : \text{part (a) of Th}^m(6.19) \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot b + a \cdot b &= (-a + a) \cdot b && : \text{dist. prop. of } R. \\ &= 0 \cdot b && : -a + a = 0. \\ &= 0 && : \text{part (a) of Th}^m(6.19) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$  since the calculations above demonstrate it is the additive inverse of  $a \cdot b$ .

Remark: I'd like to ask a few easy questions about integral domains and fields. However, ~~the~~ the questions I'd like in the text. I'll let lecture cover it.