

Method of Frobenius: § 8.6 – 8.7 (developed in 1873)

(171)

We have seen sol^{1/2}'s of the form $c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + \dots$ cover a great variety of problems. The Frobenius Method extends the guess to $x^r(c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + \dots)$. The proposed sol^{1/2} will not always be a power series, for example if $r = 1/2$ then we'd have a sol^{1/2} of the form $\sqrt{x}(c_0 + c_1x + \dots) = c_0\sqrt{x} + c_1x^{3/2} + \dots$. It turns out we can describe sol^{1/2}'s of DE_{y^{1/2}} with a particular type of singular point.

Def^{1/2} A singular point x_0 of $y''(x) + P(x)y'(x) + Q(x)y(x) = 0$ is said to be a regular singular point if both $(x - x_0)P(x)$ and $(x - x_0)^2Q(x)$ are analytic at x_0 . Otherwise x_0 is called an irregular singular point.

In complex variables $f(z)$ is said to have a pole of order m at z_0 if $f(z)(z - z_0)^m$ is analytic but $f(z)(z - z_0)^{m+1}$ is not analytic.

So we could say regular singular points are those of order 1 or 2 for Q and/or P . An essential singularity is one for which no m makes $f(z)(z - z_0)^m$ analytic, $\exp(\frac{1}{z})$ as essential singularity at zero.

Digression, unless you're taking complex variables some time soon.

E169 $x^2y'' + xy' + y = 0$ (Cauchy Euler DE_{y^{1/2}})

$$\Rightarrow y'' + \frac{1}{x}y' + \frac{1}{x^2}y = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P(x) = \frac{1}{x} \text{ and } Q(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$$

Observe $xP(x) = 1$ and $x^2Q(x) = 1$ thus xP and x^2Q are both analytic at the singular point $x_0 = 0$, hence x_0 is a regular singular point.

Remark: Cauchy Euler problems $a x^2 y'' + b x y' + c y = 0$ have regular singular points at $x_0 = 0$. Recall we found that the solⁿ's of the form $y = x^r$ or $y = \ln(x)x^r$ for real roots r of the characteristic or Indicial Eqⁿ (see 94-95 or §8.5). This means the Cauchy Euler solⁿ's are a very special case of the Frob. Solⁿ: $y = x^r(c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + \dots)$

It's the case where $c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = \dots$. In section 8.7 we'll learn $y = \ln(x)x^r$ is also a special case of the repeated root case for the Frob. Solⁿ. Let me turn this around:

- Frobinius Method: DEqⁿ's with a regular singular point x_0 are essentially a slight twist of a Cauchy Euler Problem thus we suspect a solⁿ of the form $(y_{\text{Cauchy Euler}})$ (analytic function) will solve it. This is the intuition of the method roughly speaking.

Let me provide a few supporting calculations (roughly pg. 489 of text)

Defⁿ/ The Frobinius Solⁿ of index r is

$$W(r, x) = x^r \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+r}$$

In general r could be complex, we've discussed how that works for Cauchy Euler problems however the text limits discussion to real case in §8.6-8.7 (Problem #26 of §8.7 explores complex case.)

THE Problem: Solve $y'' + py' + qy = 0$ at a REGULAR SINGULAR Point x_0 .

Observation: this is like Cauchy-Euler Problem try the "Frobenius Sol^{re}" $y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-x_0)^{n+r}$. Since we

can always shift x_0 to zero we can let $x_0 = 0$ without losing any generality,

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+r}$$

$$y' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+r) a_n x^{n+r-1}$$

$$y'' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+r)(n+r-1) a_n x^{n+r-2}$$

Completely
unjustified
if you
want to
get technical
these are not
power series
so we have no
Thm's for
such calculation.

You'll need to
consult a more
sophisticated source
for proofs.

likewise,
not justified
but on the
other hand
not surprising
really.

Now substitute into the given DEq^h,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+r)(n+r-1) a_n x^{n+r-2} + \dots \\
 (\ast) \quad & \leftarrow + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^{n-1} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+r) a_n x^{n+r-1} \right) + \dots \\
 & \leftarrow + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^{n-2} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+r} \right) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice we assumed $x_0 = 0$ is regular singular point

hence $xP(x)$ and $x^2q(x)$ are analytic, It follows

that $P(x) = \frac{P_0}{x} + P_1 + P_2 x^2 + \dots$ and $q(x) = \frac{q_0}{x^2} + \frac{q_1}{x} + q_2 + q_3 x + \dots$

Since the singular pt. $x_0 = 0$ is regular we know at least one of P_0, q_0 is non zero. These are Lorentz Expansions, again complex variables discuss these at length.

Continuing:

We need to analyze (*). What does it say about the coefficients of our Frobenius Sol²? Let's change notation to +... to calculate a few of the lowest order terms, (we assume $a_0 \neq 0$)

$$r(r-1)a_0x^{r-2} + P_0 \frac{1}{x}(ra_0x^{r-1}) + q_0x^{-2}(a_0x^r) + \dots \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} x^{r-2} \\ \text{+ terms} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\curvearrowleft + (1+r)ra_1x^{r-1} + P_0 \frac{1}{x}((1+r)a_1x^r) + P_1(ra_0x^{r-1}) + \dots \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} x^{r-1} \\ \text{power} \\ \text{terms} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\curvearrowleft + \left(q_0 \frac{1}{x^2} + q_1 \frac{1}{x}\right)(a_0x^r + a_1x^{1+r}) + \dots = 0$$

$$x^{r-2} \left(a_0(r(r-1) + P_0r + q_0) \right) + \dots$$

$$\curvearrowleft + x^{r-1} \left(a_1r(r+1) + a_0P_0(r+1) + P_1a_0r + q_0a_1 + q_1a_0 \right) + \dots = 0$$

The coefficients must vanish thus as $a_0 \neq 0$ we find $r(r-1) + P_0r + q_0 = 0$ from the x^{r-2} term. This is called the indicial eqⁿ.

Def/ $r(r-1) + P_0r + q_0 = 0$. indicial eqⁿ for x_0 .

$$\text{where } P_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (x - x_0)P(x), \quad q_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (x - x_0)^2 q(x)$$

We call roots of the indicial eqⁿ the exponents of the singularity x_0 of the eqⁿ $y'' + py' + qy = 0$

Notice the differential eqⁿ $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ is approximately $y'' + P_0y' + q_0y = 0$ for $x \rightarrow x_0$ since $P(x) = \frac{P_0}{x - x_0} + \dots$ and $q(x) = \frac{q_0}{(x - x_0)^2} + \dots$ are dominated by the singular pieces near the singularity.

Given $y'' + py' + qy = 0$ with regular singular point x_0 ,

(1.) Calculate the indicial eqⁿ $r(r-1) + p_0 r + q_0 = 0$
and solve to find the exponents which solve
the quadratic eqⁿ, call them r_1, r_2 with $\operatorname{Re}(r_1) \geq \operatorname{Re}(r_2)$.

(2.) Assume $W(r_i, x)$ solves $y'' + py' + qy = 0$.
Substitute and find coefficients of $W(r, x) = a_0 x^{r_1} + a_1 x^{r_1+1} + \dots$
as requested (sometimes asked to solve general
recurrence relations that result, other times
I just ask for 1st few terms). Let $W(r_i, x) = y_i$.

(3.) If $r_1 = r_2$ then $y_{r_2}(x) = y_1(x) \ln(x-x_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n (x-x_0)^{n+r}$
this y_{r_2} will be linearly independent
from y_1 . (note there is much flexibility in choice of b_n above)

(4.) If $r_1 - r_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ ($r_1 - r_2$ is not an integer)
then $W(r_2, x) = b_0 x^{r_2} + b_1 x^{r_2+1} + \dots$ is a solⁿ
and you can find b_n as needed. Again $y_{r_2}(x) = W(r_2, x)$
is linearly independent from y_1 we found in (2.).

(5.) If $r_1 - r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ then a 2nd linearly independent solⁿ
of the form that follows is linearly independent of y_1 ,
 $y_{r_2}(x) = C y_1(x) \ln(x-x_0) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (x-x_0)^{n+r_2}$, $b_0 \neq 0$

Where C is a constant that could be zero.

(6.) If the exponents are complex consult other texts.
(or try to extrapolate from #26 of §8.7)

The general solⁿ to $y'' + py' + qy = 0$ is $y = c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2$
and it's I.O.C. extends at least as far the next
nearest singular point. (Thm 6 of §8.6)

Remark: To summarize, the Method of Frobenius says we can solve a DE[±] at a regular singular pt. with a sol[±] of the form $y = a_0 x^r + a_1 x^{r+1} + \dots$ and the value of r is selected from the indicial eq[±].

E170 Find first 6 terms in general sol[±] of the differential equation $y'' + xy' - \frac{2}{x^2}y = 0$

(taken from
O'Neill's Advanced
Engineering Mathematics
Problem #13 §5.3)

Observe $P(x) = x$ and $q(x) = -\frac{2}{x^2}$. Comparing

these formulas to $P(x) = \frac{P_0}{x} + P_1 + P_2 x + \dots$ and $q(x) = \frac{q_0}{x^2} + \frac{q_1}{x} + q_2 + q_3 x + \dots$ to the given functions reveals $P_2 = 1$ and all other $P_k = 0$ whereas $q_0 = -2$ and $q_k = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. The indicial eq[±] is,

$$\begin{aligned} r(r-1) - 2 &= 0 \Rightarrow r^2 - r - 2 = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow (r-2)(r+1) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \underline{r_1 = 2 \text{ and } r_2 = -1}. \end{aligned}$$

We seek to determine coeff. in $W(a, x) = a_0 x^2 + a_1 x^3 + a_2 x^4 + \dots = y_1$,

$$y_1'' + xy_1' - \frac{2}{x^2}y_1 = 0$$

$$2a_0 + 6a_1 x + 12a_2 x^2 + x(2a_0 x) - \frac{2}{x^2}(a_0 x^2 + a_1 x^3 + a_2 x^4) + \dots = 0$$

$$\underbrace{(2a_0 - 2a_0)}_{\text{nice, no condition on } a_0.} + x\underbrace{(6a_1 - 2a_1)}_{\text{yields } 4a_1 = 0} + x^2\underbrace{(12a_2 + 2a_0 - 2a_2)}_{10a_2 = -2a_0} + \dots = 0$$

$$10a_2 = -2a_0$$

$$a_2 = \underline{\frac{-1}{5}a_0}$$

This gives us first two nontrivial terms in y_1 , set $a_0 = 1$

$$\boxed{y_1(x) = x^2 - \frac{1}{5}x^4 + \dots}$$

If we did additional calculation we'd find

$$\boxed{y_1(x) = x^2 - \frac{1}{5}x^4 + \frac{1}{5(7)}x^6 - \frac{1}{5(7)(9)}x^8 + \frac{1}{5(7)(9)(11)}x^{10} + \dots}$$

(Math 501 at NCSU has hwk. problems like this)

E170 Continued:

We wish to find the 2nd L.I. sol^{1/2} $y_2(x)$. Notice that $r_2 - r_1 = -3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ hence we seek sol^{1/2} of form,

$$y_2(x) = Cy_1(x) \ln(x) + b_0 x^{-1} + b_1 + b_2 x + b_3 x^2 + \dots \quad \textcircled{I}$$

$$y_2' = Cy_1' \ln(x) + Cy_1/x - \frac{b_0}{x^2} + b_2 + 2b_3 x + 3b_4 x^2 + 4b_5 x^3 + \dots$$

$$xy_2' = c \ln(x) \times y_1' + Cy_1 - \frac{b_0}{x} + b_2 x + 2b_3 x^2 + \dots \quad \textcircled{II}$$

$$y_2'' = Cy_1'' \ln(x) + \underline{Cy_1'/x} + \underline{Cy_1'/x} - Cy_1/x^2 + \frac{2b_0}{x^3} + 2b_3 + 6b_4 x + 12b_5 x^2 + \dots \quad \textcircled{III}$$

Substitute \textcircled{I} , \textcircled{II} and \textcircled{III} into $y'' + xy' - \frac{2}{x^2} y = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & C \ln(x) \left(y_1'' + xy_1' - \frac{2}{x^2} y_1 \right) + 2Cy_1'/x - Cy_1/x^2 + \frac{2b_0}{x^3} + 2b_3 + 6b_4 x + 2 \\ & + 12b_5 x^2 + Cy_1 - \frac{b_0}{x} + b_2 x + 2b_3 x^2 - \frac{2b_0}{x^3} - \frac{2b_1}{x^2} - \frac{2b_2}{x} - 2b_3 - \\ & - 2b_4 x - 2b_5 x^2 + \dots = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now substitute in $y_1 = x^2 - \frac{1}{5}x^4 + \dots$ and $y_1' = 2x - \frac{4}{5}x^3 + \dots$

Observe also $y_1'' + xy_1' - \frac{2}{x^2} y_1 = 0$ so the 1st term vanishes,

$$2C \frac{1}{x} \left(2x - \frac{4}{5}x^3 + \dots \right) - C \frac{1}{x^2} \left(x^2 - \frac{1}{5}x^4 + \dots \right) + \dots$$

$$+ \cancel{\frac{2b_0}{x^3}} + 2b_3 + 6b_4 x + 12b_5 x^2 + C \left(x^2 - \frac{1}{5}x^4 \right) - \dots$$

{Can ignore next stage since it's beyond x^2 }

$$- \frac{b_0}{x} + b_2 x + 2b_3 x^2 - \cancel{\frac{2b_0}{x^3}} - \frac{2b_1}{x^2} - \frac{2b_2}{x} - 2b_3 - 2b_4 x - 2b_5 x^2 + \dots = 0$$

I'll keep terms upto order x^2 ,

$$(4C - C + 2b_3 - 2b_3) + x(6b_4 + b_2 - 2b_4) + x^2 \left(-\frac{8C}{5} + \frac{C}{5} + 12b_5 + C + 2b_3 - 2b_5 \right)$$

$$+ (-b_0 - 2b_2) \frac{1}{x} + (-2b_1) \frac{1}{x^2} + \dots = 0$$



From $\boxed{3}$ we find,

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Const} \quad 3c = 0 \\ \underline{x^1} \quad 4b_4 + b_2 = 0 \\ \underline{x^2} \quad 10b_5 + 2b_3 = 0 \\ \underline{\frac{1}{x}} \quad -b_0 - 2b_2 = 0 \\ \underline{\frac{1}{x^2}} \quad -2b_1 = 0 \end{array}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} c = 0 \\ b_4 = -\frac{1}{4}b_2 \\ b_5 = -\frac{1}{5}b_3 \\ b_2 = \frac{1}{2}b_0 \Rightarrow b_4 = \frac{1}{8}b_0 \\ b_1 = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Notice that b_3 and b_5 go with x^2 and x^4 in the sol²; I anticipate $b_3(x^2 - \frac{1}{5}x^4 + \dots)$ is just another copy of y_1 , which appears (in principle) in y_2 . For convenience we set $b_3 = 0$ and $b_0 = 1$ to find, (using \oplus)

$$\boxed{y_2(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{8}x^3 + \dots}$$

Thus the general sol² $y = c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2$ has the explicit form

$$\boxed{y = c_1(x^2 - \frac{1}{5}x^4 \dots) + c_2(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{8}x^3 \dots)}$$

If you think about it you'll see that a non-zero choice of b_1 amounts to redefining c_1 in the general sol². This ambiguity is likely to present itself when we work problems that fall into case (5.) as described on (175).

Incidentally, if we calculated more terms we'd find

$$\boxed{y_2(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{8}x^3 - \frac{1}{48}x^5 + \frac{1}{384}x^7 - \frac{1}{3840}x^9}$$