

The calculation on the last page helps motivate our construction of $L = df_p$ (we hope to prove this assertion)

$$L(h) = h_1 L(1) + h_2 L(i)$$

$$L(h) = h_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p) + h_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p)$$

(claim, this definition for L "works")

To show L works,

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad L(ch+k) &= (ch+k)_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p) + (ch+k)_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p) \\ &= c \left(h_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p) + h_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p) \right) + \left(k_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p) + k_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p) \right) \\ &= c L(h) + L(k) \quad \therefore \underline{L \text{ is linear.}} \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

② We need to calculate $\Delta f - L(h)$, $\alpha(h)$

$$\Delta f - L(h) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(p_1+h_1^*, p_2) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(p_1+h_1^{**}, p_2) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(p) - i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(p) \right) h_1 + \quad \curvearrowright$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(p_1+h_1, p_2+h_2^*) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(p_1+h_1, p_2+h_2^{**}) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(p) - i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(p) \right) h_2$$

$\beta(h)$

[By continuity of the partial derivatives, note as $h \rightarrow 0$ so likewise $h_1^*, h_2^*, h_1^{**}, h_2^{**} \rightarrow 0$ and hence $\alpha(h) \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.]

② continued, we need to show that

$$\frac{\Delta f - L(h)}{\|h\|} = \frac{f(p+h) - f(p) - L(h)}{\|h\|} \rightarrow 0$$

as $h = h_1 + ih_2 = (h_1, h_2) \rightarrow 0$. Consider, $h \neq 0$

$$\frac{f(p+h) - f(p) - L(h)}{\|h\|} = \frac{\alpha(h)h_1 + \beta(h)h_2}{\|h\|}$$

Take modulus, for $h \neq 0$, notice, $\|h\| = \sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2} = |h_1 + ih_2| = |h|$

$$0 \leq \left| \frac{f(p+h) - f(p) - L(h)}{|h|} \right| = \frac{|\alpha(h)h_1 + \beta(h)h_2|}{|h|}$$

$$\leq |\alpha(h)| \frac{|h_1|}{|h|} + |\beta(h)| \frac{|h_2|}{|h|}$$

$$\leq |\alpha(h)| \frac{|h|}{|h|} + |\beta(h)| \frac{|h|}{|h|}$$

$$= |\alpha(h)| + |\beta(h)|$$

Thus, by Squeeze Th^m, as $|\alpha(h)| + |\beta(h)| \rightarrow 0$
as $h \rightarrow 0$ we find $\left| \frac{f(p+h) - f(p) - L(h)}{|h|} \right| \rightarrow 0$

$$\text{Consequently, } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{f(p+h) - f(p) - L(h)}{\|h\|} \right) = 0$$

and we've shown f is differentiable at p
with differential $df_p(h) = h_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p) + h_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p)$

Th^m/ If $f = u + iv$ is continuously differentiable at P then f is differentiable at P with differential given by: ← Frechet sense

$$df_P(h) = h_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(P) + h_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(P).$$

continuously differentiable at P

means partial derivatives $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$

exist at P and are continuous on some open set containing P .

differentiable at P means we can

find a differential $df_P: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

which solves the limiting Frechet Quotient

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{f(P+h) - f(P) - df_P(h)}{\|h\|} \right) = 0$$